Lecture 25: Moment Generating Function

Lecturer: Dr. Krishna Jagannathan

Scribe: Subrahmanya Swamy P

In this lecture, we will introduce Moment Generating Function and discuss its properties.

Definition 25.1 The moment generating function (MGF) associated with a random variable X, is a function, $M_X : \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty]$ defined by $M_X(s) = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{sX}\right]$.

The domain or region of convergence (ROC) of M_X is the set $D_X = \{s|M_X(s) < \infty\}$. In general, s can be complex, but since we did not define expectation of complex valued random variables, we will restrict ourselves to real valued s. Note that s = 0 is always a point in the ROC for any random variable, since $M_X(0) = 1$.

Cases:

- If X is discrete with pmf $p_X(x)$, then $M_X(s) = \sum_x e^{sx} p_X(x)$.
- If X is continuous with density $f_X(\cdot)$, then $M_X(s) = \int e^{sx} f_X(x) dx$.

Example 25.2 Exponential random variable

$$f_X(x) = \mu e^{-\mu x}, \quad x \ge 0,$$

$$M_X(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{sx} \mu e^{-\mu x} dx = \begin{cases} \frac{\mu}{\mu - s}, & \text{if } s < \mu, \\ +\infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The Region of Convergence for this example is, $\{s|M_X(s)<\infty\}$, i.e., $s<\mu$.

Example 25.3 Std. Normal random variable

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{\frac{-x^2}{2}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$M_X(s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{sx} e^{\frac{-x^2}{2}} dx,$$

$$= e^{\frac{s^2}{2}}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The Region of Convergence for this example is the entire real line.

Example 25.4 Cauchy random variable

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\pi(1+x^2)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$M_X(s) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{sx} \frac{1}{1+x^2} dx = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } s = 0, \\ +\infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The Region of Convergence for this example is just the point s = 0.

Remark 2: The above examples can be interpreted as follows.

- In Example 25.2, we have the product of two exponentials. Thus, the MGF converges when the product is decreasing.
- In Example 25.3, there is a 'competition' between $e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}$ and e^{sx} . Since the first term from the Gaussian decreases faster than e^{sx} increases (for any s), the integral always converges.
- In Example 25.4, for $s \neq 0$, an exponential competes with a decreasing polynomial, as a result of which the integral diverges.

It is an interesting question whether or not we can uniquely find the CDF of a random variable, given the moment generating function and its ROC. A quick look at Example 25.4 reveals that if the MGF is finite only at s=0 and infinite elsewhere, it is not possible to recover the CDF uniquely. To see this, one just needs to produce another random variable whose MGF is finite only at s=0. (Do this!) On the other hand, if we can specify the value of the moment generating function even in a tiny interval, we can uniquely determine the density function. This result follows essentially because the MGF, when it exists in an interval, is *analytic*, and hence possesses some nice properties. The proof of the following theorem is rather involved, and uses the properties of an analytic function.

Theorem 25.5 (Without Proof)

- i) Suppose $M_X(s)$ is finite in the interval $[-\epsilon, \epsilon]$ for some $\epsilon > 0$, then M_X uniquely determines the CDF of X.
- ii) If X and Y are two random variables such that, $M_X(s) = M_Y(s) \ \forall s \in [-\epsilon, \epsilon], \epsilon > 0$ then X and Y have the same CDF.

25.1 Properties

- 1. $M_X(0) = 1$.
- 2. Moment Generating Property: We shall state this property in the form of a theorem.

Theorem 25.6 Supposing $M_X(s) < \infty$ for $s \in [-\epsilon, \epsilon]$, $\epsilon > 0$ then,

$$\left. \frac{d}{ds} M_X(s) \right|_{s=0} = \mathbb{E}[X]. \tag{25.1}$$

More generally,

$$\left.\frac{d^m}{ds^m}M_X(s)\right|_{s=0}=\mathbb{E}[X^m]\;;\;\;m\geq 1.$$

Proof: (25.1) can be proved in the following steps.

$$\frac{d}{ds}M_X(s) = \frac{d}{ds}\mathbb{E}[e^{sX}] \stackrel{(a)}{=} \mathbb{E}[\frac{d}{ds}e^{sX}] = \mathbb{E}[Xe^{sX}],$$

where, (a) is obtained by the interchange of the derivative and the expectation. This follows from the use of basic definition of the derivative, and then invoking the DCT; see Lemma 25.7 (d).

Lemma 25.7 Suppose that X is a non-negative random variable and $M_X(s) < \infty$, $\forall s \in (-\infty, a]$, where a is a positive number, then

- (a) $\mathbb{E}[X^k] < \infty$, for every k.
- (b) $\mathbb{E}[X^k e^{sX}] < \infty$, for every s < a.
- $(c) \frac{e^{hX}-1}{h} \leq Xe^{hX}$.
- (d) $\mathbb{E}[X] = \mathbb{E}[\lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{e^{hX} 1}{h}] = \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{E}[e^{hX}] 1}{h}$.

Proof: Given that X is a non-negative random variable with a Moment Generating Function such that $M_X(s) < \infty, \forall s \in (-\infty, a]$, for some positive a.

- (a) For a positive number $a, x^k \leq e^{ax}, \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$. Therefore, $\mathbb{E}[X^k] = \int x^k d\mathbb{P}_X \leq \int e^{ax} d\mathbb{P}_X$. However, $\int e^{ax} d\mathbb{P}_X = M_X(a) < \infty$. Therefore, $\mathbb{E}[X^k] < \infty$.
- (b) For s < a, $\exists \epsilon > 0$ such that $M_X(s + \epsilon) < \infty \Rightarrow \int e^{sx} e^{\epsilon x} d\mathbb{P}_X < \infty$. But since $\epsilon > 0$, as $x \to \infty$, $x^k \le e^{\epsilon x}$. Therefore, $\mathbb{E}[X^k e^{sX}] = \int x^k e^{sx} d\mathbb{P}_X \le \int e^{sx} e^{\epsilon x} d\mathbb{P}_X < \infty \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[X^k e^{sX}] < \infty$.
- (c) To prove that $\frac{e^{hX}-1}{h} \leq Xe^{hX}$. Let hX = Y. Therefore, re-arranging the terms, we need to prove that $e^Y - Ye^Y \leq 1$. Or equivalently, it is enough to prove that, $g(Y) = e^Y(Y-1) \geq -1$. g(Y) has a minima at Y = 0, and the minimum value, *i.e.*, g(0) = -1. $\Rightarrow g(Y) \geq -1$, $\Rightarrow e^Y(Y-1) \geq -1$. Hence proved.
- (d) Define $X_h = \frac{e^{hX}-1}{h}$. $\lim_{h\downarrow 0} X_h = X$ i.e. $X_h \to X$ point-wise. Since $\mathbb{E}[X^k e^{sX}] < \infty$ is true, when s = h and k = 1, we get $\mathbb{E}[Xe^{hX}] < \infty$. Since X_h is dominated by Xe^{hX} , $\mathbb{E}[Xe^{hX}] < \infty$ and $\lim_{h\downarrow 0} X_h = X$, applying DCT we get $\mathbb{E}[X] = \mathbb{E}[\lim_{h\downarrow 0} X_h] = \mathbb{E}[\lim_{h\downarrow 0} \frac{e^{hX}-1}{h}] = \lim_{h\downarrow 0} \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{e^{hX}-1}{h}\right] = \lim_{h\downarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{E}[e^{hX}]-1}{h}$. Therefore, $\mathbb{E}[X] = \mathbb{E}[\lim_{h\downarrow 0} \frac{e^{hX}-1}{h}] = \lim_{h\downarrow 0} \frac{\mathbb{E}[e^{hX}]-1}{h}$. Hence proved.
- 3. If Y = aX + b, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, then $M_Y(s) = e^{sb}M_X(as)$. For example, $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, $Y = \sigma X + \mu$ $\Rightarrow Y \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2) \Rightarrow M_Y(s) = e^{\mu s} e^{\sigma^2 \frac{s^2}{2}}$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$.
- 4. If X and Y are independent and Z = X + Y, then $M_Z(s) = M_X(s)M_Y(s)$. **Proof:** $\mathbb{E}[e^{sZ}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{sX+sY}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{sX}e^{sY}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{sX}]\mathbb{E}[e^{sY}]$.

Consider the following examples:

(a) $X_1 \sim N(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$; $X_2 \sim N(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$; and X_1, X_2 are independent. $Z = X_1 + X_2$;

$$\begin{array}{rcl} M_{X_1}(s) & = & e^{\left(\mu_1 s + \frac{\sigma_1^2 s^2}{2}\right)}, \\ M_{X_2}(s) & = & e^{\left(\mu_2 s + \frac{\sigma_2^2 s^2}{2}\right)}, \\ M_{Z}(s) & = & M_{X_1}(s) M_{X_2}(s), \\ & = & e^{\left((\mu_1 + \mu_2)s + \frac{(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)s^2}{2}\right)}. \\ \Rightarrow Z \sim N(\mu_1 + \mu_2, \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2). \end{array}$$

(b) $X_1 \sim \exp(\mu); X_2 \sim \exp(\lambda), \lambda \neq \mu \text{ and } X_1, X_2 \text{ are independent. } Z = X_1 + X_2;$

$$\begin{split} M_{X_1}(s) &= \frac{\mu}{\mu - s}, \\ M_{X_2}(s) &= \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - s}, \\ M_{Z}(s) &= M_{X_1}(s) M_{X_2}(s), \\ &= \frac{\mu \lambda}{(\mu - s)(\lambda - s)}, \quad \text{ROC is } s < \min(\lambda, \mu) \\ \Rightarrow f_Z(x) &= \frac{\mu}{\mu - \lambda} \lambda e^{-\lambda x} - \frac{\lambda}{\mu - \lambda} \mu e^{-\mu x}, \\ &= \left(\frac{\mu \lambda}{\mu - \lambda}\right) \left(e^{-\lambda x} - e^{-\mu x}\right), \quad x \ge 0. \end{split}$$

5. $Z = \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i$, X_i are i.i.d and N is independent of X_i .

$$\begin{aligned} M_Z(s) &= \mathbb{E}[e^{sZ}] &= & \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[e^{sZ}|N\right]\right], \\ &= & \mathbb{E}\left[\left(M_X(s)\right)^N\right], \end{aligned}$$

If we write in terms of the PGF and MGF of N, then,

$$M_Z(s) = G_N(M_X(s)),$$

= $M_N(\log M_X(s)).$

For example, $X_i \sim \exp(\mu)$; $N \sim \text{Geom}(p)$ and $Z = \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i$. Then the distribution of Z is computed as follows:

$$M_X(s) = \frac{\mu}{\mu - s}, \quad s < \mu,$$

$$G_N(\xi) = \frac{p\xi}{1 - (1 - p)\xi}, \quad |\xi| < \frac{1}{1 - p},$$

$$M_Z(s) = G_N(M_X(s)),$$

$$= \frac{p\left(\frac{\mu}{\mu - s}\right)}{1 - (1 - p)\left(\frac{\mu}{\mu - s}\right)},$$

$$= \frac{\mu p}{\mu p - s}, \quad s < \mu p,$$

$$\Rightarrow Z \sim \exp(\mu p).$$

25.2 Exercise

1. (a) [Dimitri P.Bertsekas] Find the MGF associated with an integer-valued random variable X that is uniformly distributed in the range $\{a, a+1, ..., b\}$.

- (b) [Dimitri P.Bertsekas] Find the MGF associated with a continuous random variable X that is uniformly distributed in the range [a,b].
- 2. [Dimitri P.Bertsekas] A non-negative interger-valued random variable X has one of the following MGF:
 - (a) $M(s) = e^{2(e^{e^s 1} 1)}$.
 - (b) $M(s) = e^{2(e^{e^s} 1)}$.
 - (a) Explain why one of the 2 cannot possibly be a MGF.
 - (b) Use the true MGF to find $\mathbb{P}(X=0)$.
- 3. Find the variance of a random variable X whose moment generating function is given by

$$M_X(s) = e^{3e^s - 3}$$